Workshop on Recognizing Preprint Peer Review
Hosted by HHMI, ASAPbio & EMBO
December 1-2, 2022 | HHMI-Janelia Research Campus
All times in EST (UTC-5)

Arrivals on Nov 30, with optional reception and dinner that evening.

6:00 pm Welcome Reception (Lobby)

7:00 pm Dinner (Dining Room)

8:15 pm Social time at Bob's Pub

Day 1 | Dec 1, 2022

7:30 am Breakfast available in the Dining Room *(service ends at 8:45am)*

Session 1: Welcome & Overview (Chairs: Bodo Stern & Iratxe Puebla)

9:00 am Welcome & Opening Remarks

9:10 am Meeting goals & agenda - what is preprint review?

9:20 am Keynote(s): Why do we need preprint review and feedback? (10 min each)

>**Erin O'Shea**, HHMI - *Publish/Review/Curate model*
>
>**James Fraser**, UCSF - *Researcher perspective*
>
>**Ron Vale**, Janelia - *Why journal-agnostic preprint review*
>
>**Fiona Watt**, EMBO - *Funder perspective*

10:00 am Pre-meeting survey results (10 min)

10:10 am Working group presentations (12 min each)

>***Ashley Farley**, Gates Foundation - *Funders, researchers, and institutions*
>
>**Catriona MacCallum**, Hindawi - *Preprint review services & journals*

10:35 am Live poll on the definitions of preprint review & feedback

10:40 am Break

11:00 am Discussion (45 min)

Session 2: Recognizing preprints with peer reviews - funders & institutions (Chair: Maria Leptin)

11:45 am Presentations by earlier adopters (12 min each)

>**Fiona Watt**, EMBO - *Recognizing refereed preprints as eligibility criteria for EMBO Fellowships*
>***Thomas Guillemaud**, Peer Community In - *French grad programs recognizing PCI for graduation requirements*
>***Johan Rooryck**, cOAlition S - *Coalition statement recognizing journal-independent peer review*

12:25 pm Lunch (Servery)

1:30 pm **Breakout Sessions**: How can preprint peer review be used in research evaluation? (1 hr)

1. **Funding decisions**
   a) Are we ready for the use of preprint reviews in this scenario?
   b) What are potential challenges with using preprint review in this assessment scenario?
   c) How can those challenges be addressed?
   d) What one intervention *(social, technical, otherwise)* would enable this use of preprint review?

2. **Hiring, Promotion & Tenure**
   a) Are we ready for the use of preprint reviews in this scenario?
   b) What are potential challenges with using preprint review in this assessment scenario?
   c) How can those challenges be addressed?
   d) What one intervention (social, technical, or otherwise) would enable this use of preprint review?

*indicates remote participants   *updated 11/28/22*

2:30 pm Break

2:50 pm **Reconvene for report out from each session & further discussion** (45 min)
Rather than a traditional report out, collect brief responses (such as one most important intervention) from note-takers or moderators and display them together on a slide (one slide per breakout topic)

3:40 pm Break

Session 3: Recognizing preprints with peer reviews - journals and peer review services (Chair: Gautam Dey)

4:10 pm Existing models of transferring reviews from preprint review providers and how journals and other service providers can incorporate feedback into decision-making processes

> ***Thomas Guillemaud**, Peer Community In (5 min) - *PCI transfer model*
> **Laurie Goodman**, GigaScience (6 min) - *Why use preprint reviews?*
> **Sara Monaco,** Review Commons (5 min) - *Review Commons transfer model*
> **Marcel LaFlamme**, PLOS (12 min) - *Transfers from Review Commons from an affiliate perspective*
> **Mike Eisen**, eLife (12 min) - *New editorial model and transfers to other journals*

5:00 pm **Breakout Sessions**: How will journals & peer review services participate in reuse of preprint review? (1 hr)

1. **Posting reviews**
   a) Are we ready to post reviews on preprints as they are received?
   b) Under what conditions do peer review services and journals currently publish reviews?
   c) What are the obstacles to posting reviews at earlier time points, such as immediately after receipt?
   d) What one intervention (social, technical, otherwise) would enable the (earlier) posting of preprint review?

2. **Reusing preprint reviews**
   a) Are we ready to use/reuse preprint reviews?
   b) What are the obstacles to reusing preprint reviews?
   c) What elements are necessary to reuse reviews? How can a greater diversity of reviews be incorporated?
   d) What one intervention (social, technical, otherwise) would enable this reuse of preprint review?

6:00 pm Break

6:20 pm **Reconvene for report out from each session & further discussion** (45 min)

7:15 pm Dinner (Dining Room)

8:30 pm Social time at Bob's Pub

*indicates remote participants   *updated 11/28/22*

Day 2 | December 2, 2022

7:30 am Breakfast available in the Dining Room *(service ends at 8:45am)*

Session 4: Recognizing reviewers (Chair: Fiona Watt)

9:00 am Existing models or potential ideas (12 min each)

>**Thomas Lemberger**, EMBO - *Takeaways from an EMBO meeting on recognition for reviewers*
>***Richard Sever**, CSHL - *Preprint Review: An Alternative Career Currency*
>***Prachee Avasthi**, Arcadia - *Promoting and recognizing preprint peer review at Arcadia*

9:45 am **Breakout sessions**: How can we provide recognition and credit for review?

1. **Funders**
   a) What are the barriers to providing recognition and credit for review?
   b) How can those barriers be addressed?
   c) What one intervention (social, technical, or otherwise) would enable recognition for preprint review?

2. **Institutions**
   a) What are the barriers to providing recognition and credit for review?
   b) How can those barriers be addressed?
   c) What one intervention (social, technical, or otherwise) would enable recognition for preprint review?

3. **Technological support**
   a) What are the barriers to tracking preprint peer review as a scholarly contribution?
   b) How can those barriers be addressed?
   c) What one intervention (social, technical, or otherwise) would enable this tracking of preprint review?

4. **Finding reviewers**
   a) What are potential challenges with using public peer reviews to find suitable (grant, journal) reviewers?
   b) How can those challenges be addressed?
   c) What one intervention (social, technical, or otherwise) would enable this use of preprint review?

10:45 am Break

11:05 am **Reconvene for report out from each session & further discussion** (45 min)

12:00 pm Lunch

Session 5: Supporting the ecosystem (Chairs: Iratxe Puebla & Naomi Penfold)

1:00 pm Citation

>Presentation of draft citation format
>Live poll for feedback
>Discussion

*indicates remote participants   *updated 11/28/22*

1:45 pm Indexing

    1:45 pm State of the field, part 1 (5 min each)

        Melissa Harrison, Europe PMC
        Damian Pattinson, Sciety
        Thomas Lemberger, Early Evidence Base
        Kathleen Shearer, Notify
        *Tom Demeranville, ORCiD

    2:10 pm Break

    2:30 pm State of the field, part 2 (5 min each)

        Gabe Stein, DocMaps
        *Martyn Rittman, Crossref
        *Matt Buys, Datacite

    2:45 pm Discussion (45 min)

    3:30 pm Break

3:55 pm Scaling up sustainably: Support for services

    Naomi Penfold, Invest in Open Infrastructure (5 min) - *IOI's white paper outlining needs in the space*

    Panel on existing business models, moderated by Naomi Penfold

        *Denis Bourguet, PCI
        Sara Monaco, Review Commons
        Elliott Lumb, PeerRef
        Gabriel Marinello, Qeios
        Sam Hindle, PREreview

    4:40 pm Discussion (45 min)

5:25 pm Closing Remarks (Ron Vale)

5:30 pm Adjourn

6:00 pm (optional) Dinner – Dining Room

7:15 pm (optional) Social time at Bob's Pub

*indicates remote participants